

ALTERNATIVE SELF-DUAL GRAVITY IN EIGHT DIMENSIONS

J. A. Nieto¹

*Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas de la Universidad Autónoma de
Sinaloa, 80010, Culiacán Sinaloa, México.*

Abstract

We develop an alternative Ashtekar formalism in eight dimensions. In fact, using a MacDowell-Mansouri physical framework and a self-dual curvature symmetry we propose an action in eight dimensions in which the Levi-Civita tensor with eight indices plays a key role. We explicitly show that such an action contains number of linear, quadratic and cubic terms in the Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature. In particular, the linear term is reduced to the Einstein-Hilbert action with cosmological constant in eight dimensions. We prove that such a reduced action is equivalent to the Lovelock action in eight dimensions.

Keywords: Higher dimensional Ashtekar formalism, eight-dimensional self-dual gravity, quantum gravity

Pacs numbers: 04.60.-m, 04.65.+e, 11.15.-q, 11.30.Ly

29, July 2016

¹niet@uas.edu.mx; janieto1@asu.edu

1.- Introduction

Higher dimensional Ashtekar formalism has shown to be a promising proposal [1-5] (see also Ref. [6-10]). The main physical reason for this is that it allows a possible connection with string theory [11]; an alternative approach for quantum gravity. However, except for the 8-dimensional Ashtekar formalism [6] the concept so important in 4-dimensions of the self duality curvature concept is lost. Such an 8-dimensional Ashtekar formalism is an interesting approach based on the octonionic structure. Here, we would like to present an alternative approach in 8-dimensions containing the self-duality curvature concept that is even closer to one considered in 4-dimensions.

Another source of physical interest in the present work it can be found from the fact that versions of 8-dimensional theory, such as $(4+4)$ -dimensional scenario, may be obtained from dimensional reduction of a $(5+5)$ -dimensional theory which is one of the possible background candidates for the so called M -theory (see Refs. [12]-[15]). In fact, the $(4+4)$ -dimensional structure emerges from Majorana-Weyl constraints applied to superstrings [11] and supergravity [16]. In this context, it has been shown that the triality automorphisms of $Spin(8)$ act on Majorana-Weyl representations leading to relations among $(1+9) \leftrightarrow (5+5) \leftrightarrow (9+1)$ signatures, as well as their corresponding transverse signatures $(0+8) \leftrightarrow (4+4) \leftrightarrow (8+0)$ [13]. Finally, it has been shown that the $(4+4)$ -dimensional theory has an interesting connection with qubits and chirotopes (see Refs. [17]-[21] and references therein). So, one may expect that eventually the $(4+4)$ -dimensional Ashtekar formalism may shed some light on M -theory.

In this work, it is considered a self (antself)-dual theory *a la* Ashtekar in 8-dimensions. Specifically, using the MacDowell-Mansouri physical framework and a self-dual curvature symmetry we propose an action in 8-dimensions in which the ϵ -symbol (Levi-Civita density tensor) with 8-indices $\epsilon^{a_1 \dots a_8}$ plays a key role. We explicitly show that such an action contains the Einstein-Hilbert action with cosmological constant in 8-dimensions. In addition, it also contains a number of quadratic and cubic terms in the Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature. In fact, we prove that such a reduced action is equivalent to the Lovelock action in 8-dimensions.

The plan of this work is the following. In section 2, we briefly recall the self (antself)-duality formalism in 4-dimensions. In section 3 and 4, we introduce the analogue formalism in 8-dimensions and prove that such a formalism is equivalent to the Lovelock theory in 8-dimensions. Finally, in section 5, we summarize our results and make some final comments.

2.- Ashtekar formalism in 4-dimensions

Let us start considering a metric $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \eta_{ab}$ in terms of the vielbien e_μ^a and a flat metric η_{ab} . In addition let us introduce the MacDowell-Mansouri kind of curvature tensor in 4-dimensions:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + \lambda \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{ab}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $R_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$ is a curvature tensor defined, in the usual way, in terms of a $SO(1, 3)$ -connection ω_μ^{ab} , namely

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = \partial_\mu \omega_\nu^{ab} - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu^{ab} + \partial_\mu \omega_\nu^{ac} \partial_\nu \omega_\mu^{db} \eta_{cd} - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu^{ac} \partial_\mu \omega_\nu^{db} \eta_{cd}, \quad (2)$$

while

$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b - e_\mu^b e_\nu^a. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, λ is a constant parameter which can be related with the cosmological constant. In fact, this parameter can be properly reabsorbed in e_μ^a in such way that, for the purpose of computations, one can set it as $\lambda = 1$, but eventually it can be recovered in the final result.

In 1996, Nieto, Socorro and Obregon [22] proposed the self (antiself)-dual action

$$S = \frac{1}{2^2} \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4} \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} {}^\pm \mathcal{R}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} {}^\pm \mathcal{R}_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4}. \quad (4)$$

Here,

$${}^\pm \mathcal{R}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{R}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} + \xi \epsilon_{a_3 a_4}^{a_1 a_2} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_3 a_4}), \quad (5)$$

where $\xi = \pm 1$ or $\xi = \mp i$, depending whether the signature of η_{ab} is Euclidean or Lorentzian, respectively.

It is worth remarking that (5) determines that ${}^\pm \mathcal{R}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2}$ is self-dual (antiself-dual) in the sense that

$${}^* {}^\pm \mathcal{R}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a_3 a_4}^{a_1 a_2} {}^\pm \mathcal{R}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_3 a_4} = \varepsilon \xi {}^\pm \mathcal{R}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2}, \quad (6)$$

where, $\varepsilon = +1$ if $\xi = \pm 1$ or $\varepsilon = -1$ if $\xi = \mp i$. It is important to note that this result is directly related to the space-time dimensionality D ; in this case $D = 4$. In fact, this can be established by the number of indices in $\epsilon_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4}$ (in this case 4-indices).

Moreover, considering (5) one finds that (1) can also be written as

$${}^{\pm}\mathcal{R}_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{a_1a_2} = {}^{\pm}R_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{a_1a_2} + {}^{\pm}\Sigma_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{a_1a_2}. \quad (7)$$

When one substitutes the relation (7) into (4) one obtains

$$S = S_1 + S_2 + S_3, \quad (8)$$

where

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{2^2} \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} \epsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4} {}^{\pm}R_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{a_1a_2} {}^{\pm}R_{\mu_3\mu_4}^{a_3a_4}, \quad (9)$$

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} \epsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4} {}^{\pm}\Sigma_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{a_1a_2} {}^{\pm}R_{\mu_3\mu_4}^{a_3a_4} \quad (10)$$

and

$$S_3 = \frac{1}{2^2} \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} \epsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4} {}^{\pm}\Sigma_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{a_1a_2} {}^{\pm}\Sigma_{\mu_3\mu_4}^{a_3a_4}. \quad (11)$$

One can prove that S_1 is related to the Euler and Pontryagin topological invariants, S_2 leads to the Einstein-Hilbert action, while S_3 is related to the cosmological constant term (see Ref. [22] for details).

3.- Ashtekar formalism in 8-dimensions

In this section, we generalize the procedure of the previous section to 8-dimensions. For this purpose let us first note that the task does not seem so straightforward since we now need to deal with an ϵ -symbol with 8-indices, namely, $\epsilon_{a_1\dots a_8}$. But the curvature tensor $R_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{a_1a_2}$ contains only the two indices a_1 and a_2 . This is one of the reason why in Refs [6]-[9] an Ashtekar formalism with an octonionic structure was proposed. Although this an interesting route here we shall follow an alternative approach. In fact, we shall insist in using $\epsilon^{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6a_7a_8}$ instead of the octonionic structure constants. We should mention that just to avoid additional complication by changing the indices notation, in this section we shall use the same indices that in the previous section, but now both Latin and Greek indices shall run from 1 to 8.

Consider the tensor

$$\Omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}^{a_1a_2a_3a_4} = \delta_{b_1b_2b_3b_4}^{a_1a_2a_3a_4} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{b_1b_2} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_3\mu_4}^{b_3b_4}, \quad (12)$$

where the quantity $\delta_{b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4}$ is a generalized Kronecker delta. In fact, we shall introduce the definition

$$\epsilon \delta_{b_1 \dots b_8}^{a_1 \dots a_8} = \epsilon^{a_1 \dots a_8} \epsilon_{b_1 \dots b_8}. \quad (13)$$

Here, the parameter ϵ takes the values $\epsilon = +1$ or $\epsilon = -1$, depending whether the signature of η_{ab} is Euclidean or Lorentzian, respectively. Our new proposed action in 8-dimensions is

$$S = \frac{1}{(4!)^2} \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_8} {}^{\pm} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} {}^{\pm} \Omega_{\mu_5 \mu_6 \mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8}. \quad (14)$$

This is, of course, the analogue in 8-dimensions of the action (4) in 4-dimensions. Here, one has

$${}^{\pm} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} = \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} + \frac{\xi}{4!} \epsilon_{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8}), \quad (15)$$

where $\xi = \pm 1$ or $\xi = \mp i$, depending whether the signature of η_{ab} is Euclidean or Lorentzian, respectively. Using the property (13) of $\epsilon_{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4}$ one can verify that ${}^{\pm} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4}$ is in fact self (antself)-dual quantity, namely

$${}^{*\pm} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} = \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} {}^{\pm} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8} = \varepsilon \xi {}^{\pm} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4}, \quad (16)$$

where, $\varepsilon = +1$ if $\xi = \pm 1$ or $\varepsilon = -1$ if $\xi = \mp i$. This means that one of the key properties of self (antself)-duality in the Ashtekar formalism in 4-dimensions is preserved in the action (14) in 8-dimensions. Note that since $\xi^2 = \pm 1$ one obtains

$${}^{**\pm} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} = \pm {}^{\pm} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4}. \quad (17)$$

The dual of the dual of an object is equal to the same object, that is, one has the dual property ${}^{**} = \pm I$.

4.- Reduction of the Ashtekar formalism in 8-dimensions

In this section, we develop some of the consequences of the action (14). Substituting (15) into (14) leads to

$$S = \frac{1}{4(4!)^2} \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_8} (\Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} + \frac{\xi}{4!} \epsilon_{b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4}) \times (\Omega_{\mu_5 \mu_6 \mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8} + \frac{\xi}{4!} \epsilon_{c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4}^{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8} \Omega_{\mu_5 \mu_6 \mu_7 \mu_8}^{c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4}). \quad (18)$$

Simplifying this expression one gets

$$S = \frac{1}{2(4!)^2} \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_8} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} \Omega_{\mu_5 \mu_6 \mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8} \\ + \frac{\xi}{2(4!)} \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \eta_{a_1 a_5} \eta_{a_2 a_6} \eta_{a_3 a_7} \eta_{a_4 a_8} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} \Omega_{\mu_5 \mu_6 \mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8}. \quad (19)$$

Using (12) this expression becomes

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_8} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8} \\ + \frac{\xi}{2} \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \eta_{a_1 \dots a_4, a_5 \dots a_8} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8}, \quad (20)$$

where

$$\eta_{a_1 \dots a_4, a_5 \dots a_8} = \delta_{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8}^{b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4} \eta_{a_1 b_1} \eta_{a_2 b_2} \eta_{a_3 b_3} \eta_{a_4 b_4}. \quad (21)$$

Now, substituting (1) into (20) with $\lambda = 1$ one obtains the action

$$S = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + S_5 + S_6 + S_7 + S_8 + S_9 + S_{10}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_8} R_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} R_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} R_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} R_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8}, \quad (23)$$

$$S_2 = 2 \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_8} \Sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} R_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} R_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} R_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8}, \quad (24)$$

$$S_3 = 3 \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_8} \Sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} \Sigma_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} R_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} R_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8}, \quad (25)$$

$$S_4 = 2 \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_8} \Sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} \Sigma_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} \Sigma_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} R_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8}, \quad (26)$$

$$S_5 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_8} \Sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} \Sigma_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} \Sigma_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} \Sigma_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8}, \quad (27)$$

and

$$S_6 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \xi \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \eta_{a_1 \dots a_4, a_5 \dots a_8} R_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} R_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} R_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} R_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8}, \quad (28)$$

$$S_7 = 2\epsilon\xi \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \eta_{a_1 \dots a_4, a_5 \dots a_8} \Sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} R_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} R_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} R_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8}, \quad (29)$$

$$S_8 = 3\epsilon\xi \int d^8 x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \eta_{a_1 \dots a_4, a_5 \dots a_8} \Sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} \Sigma_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} R_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} R_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8}, \quad (30)$$

$$S_9 = 2\epsilon\xi \int d^8x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \eta_{a_1 \dots a_4, a_5 \dots a_8} \Sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} \Sigma_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} \Sigma_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} R_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8}, \quad (31)$$

$$S_{10} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \xi \int d^8x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8} \eta_{a_1 \dots a_4, a_5 \dots a_8} \Sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{a_1 a_2} \Sigma_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_3 a_4} \Sigma_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_5 a_6} \Sigma_{\mu_7 \mu_8}^{a_7 a_8}. \quad (32)$$

Using the antisymmetry/symmetry properties of $\epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_8}$ and $R_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_5 \mu_6} = e_{\mu_1 a_1} e_{\mu_2 a_2} R_{\mu_5 \mu_6}^{a_1 a_2}$ it is not difficult to verify that

$$S_7 = 0, S_8 = 0, S_9 = 0, S_{10} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Thus, (22) is reduced to

$$S = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + S_5 + S_6. \quad (34)$$

It turns out that S_1 and S_6 can be identified with a Euler and Pontryagin topological invariant terms in 8-dimensions. While, S_5 is a cosmological constant term and S_4 leads to the Einstein-Hilbert action in 8-dimensions. Finally, through a long computation one finds that S_2 and S_3 become

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 = 2^6 \int d^8x \sqrt{\epsilon g} [& R^3 - 12 R R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + 3 R R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \\ & + 16 R_{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha}^{\mu} R^{\nu\alpha} + 24 R_{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} - 24 R_{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\lambda}^{\mu} R^{\nu\alpha\beta\lambda} \\ & + 2 R_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu} R_{\tau\lambda}^{\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu}^{\tau\lambda} - 8 R_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu} R_{\nu\lambda}^{\beta\tau} R_{\tau\mu}^{\lambda\alpha}] \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and

$$S_3 = 3(2)^4(4!) \int d^8x \sqrt{\epsilon g} [R^2 - 4 R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} + R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}], \quad (36)$$

respectively. Here, $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = e_{a\alpha} e_{b\beta} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$, $R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\alpha\nu}^{\alpha}$ and $R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$. Surprisingly, one finds that (35) and (36) have exactly the same form that if one considers the Lovelock theory [23] (see Appendix A en Ref. [24]). In fact, since S_7, S_8, S_9 , and S_{10} vanishes one discovers that the sum of S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5 and S_6 describe a Lovelock action in 8-dimensions. Moreover, since S_1 and S_6 are topological invariant terms one sees that the whole dynamics of the system is contained in S_2, S_3, S_4 and S_5 .

5.- Final remarks

Summarizing, in this article, we have proposed the action (14) as an alternative description of the Ashtekar formalism in 8-dimensions and, in section 4, we have shown some of its consequences. In particular we have shown that

it reduces to the Lovelock action in 8-dimensions. It remains to analyze the implications of (14) at the quantum level.

Thinking in terms of division algebras one notes that the 4-dimensional and 8-dimensional structures are two of the allowed dimensions in such algebras. The other are 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional. So the original 4-dimensional Ashtekar formalism corresponds to one of these division algebras. Thus, from the division algebras point of view the 8-dimensional Ashtekar formalism must be considered equally important. Of course, 1, 2, 4 and 8 dimensions are closely related with the real, complex, quaternion and octonionic numbers via the Hurwitz theorem (see Ref. [25] and references therein). So, it may be interesting to see whether both proposals of the Ashtekar formalism in 8-dimensions, the one based on an octonionic structure and one presented here, are related. A direct suggestion for this link is provided by the self (antself)-duality relation

$$\eta^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} = \pm \epsilon^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8} \eta_{a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8}, \quad (37)$$

between the octonionic structure constants $\eta^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4}$ and the ϵ -symbol in 8-dimensions $\epsilon^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 a_7 a_8}$. Another possibility for such a link comes from group analysis in the sense that both theories are connected with the group $SO(8)$ which can be broken as $SO(8) \rightarrow S_7 \times S_7 \times G_2$, where S_7 is the parallelizable seven sphere and G_2 is one of the exceptional groups. As it is known the parallelizability of S_7 is related with the existence of the octonionic structure in 8-dimensions.

We have developed a procedure which can be used in any signature specified by the flat metric η_{ab} in 8-dimensions. So, in principle, one may think in the particular case of the (4+4)-signature which in turn can be associated with the M -theory proposal of (5+5)-dimensions. In this sense, it may be interesting for further research to see whether the present self (antself)-dual Ashtekar formalism in 8-dimensions can be connected with the oriented matroid theory which has been proposed as a mathematical framework for M -theory (see Refs. [17]-[19] and references therein).

Moreover, in (1+3)-dimensions, the MacDowell-Mansouri formalism is based on the de Sitter gauge group $SO(1,4)$ (or anti-de Sitter gauge group $SO(2,3)$). In fact, at the level of the spin connection $\omega_\mu^{AB} = (e_\mu^a, \omega_\mu^{ab})$, in such a formalism, one considers the transition $SO(1,4) \rightarrow SO(1,3)$ (or $SO(2,3) \rightarrow SO(1,3)$) obtaining the curvature $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$ as expressed in (1)-(3). In (1+7)-dimensions, one would expect that the curvature $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$ considered in the proposed action (14) is the result of the transition $SO(1,8) \rightarrow SO(1,7)$ (or $SO(2,7) \rightarrow SO(1,7)$). In both cases, in (1+3)-dimensions and (1+7)-dimensions, such a transition is reflected in the cosmological constant term.

In some sense, one can say that the original symmetries $SO(1, 4)$ (or $SO(2, 3)$) in the case of $(1 + 3)$ -dimensions and $SO(1, 8)$ (or $SO(2, 7)$) in the case of $(1 + 7)$ -dimensions are hidden symmetries of the reduced actions (4) and (14), respectively. Thus assuming a non-vanishing cosmological constant one can focus in the gauge symmetry group $SO(1, 3)$ in the case of $(1 + 3)$ -dimensions and $SO(1, 7)$ in the case of $(1 + 7)$ -dimensions. These comments can be clarified further recalling that in $(1 + 3)$ -dimensions the algebra $so(1, 3)$ can be written as $so(1, 3) = su(2) \times su(2)$. So, the curvature $\mathcal{R}^{ab}$ can be decomposed additively [2]: $\mathcal{R}^{ab}(\omega) = {}^+\mathcal{R}^{ab}({}^+\omega) + {}^-\mathcal{R}^{ab}({}^-\omega)$ where ${}^+\omega$ and ${}^-\omega$ are the self-dual and anti-self-dual parts of the spin connection ω . In an Euclidean context, this is equivalent of writing the norm group of quaternions $SO(4)$ as $SO(4) = S^3 \times S^3$, where S^3 denotes the three sphere. The situation in eight dimensions is very similar since $SO(8) = S^7 \times S^7 \times G_2$, with S^7 denoting the seven sphere, suggesting that one can also define duality in eight dimensions, but modulo the exceptional group G_2 [26]-[27]. At the level of $1 + 7$ -dimensions, the situation is not so simple since the closest decomposition to the case $so(1, 3)$ of the Lie algebra $so(1, 7)$ associated with $SO(1, 7)$ seems to be $so(1, 7) = g_2 \oplus L_{\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})} \oplus R_{\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})}$, where g_2 is the Lie algebra of the exceptional group G_2 and $L_{\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})}$ ($R_{\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})}$) is the space of linear transformations of $\mathcal{O}$ given by left (right) multiplication by imaginary octonions. A possible check that this is really the case observe that $\dim so(1, 7) = 28$ and $\dim g_2 + \dim L_{\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})} + \dim R_{\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})} = 14 + 7 + 7$ (see Ref. [26] for details). Thus while the self-dual sector is related to the exceptional group G_2 one finds the intriguing result that the antiself-dual sector should be related to two copies of $\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})$, the 7-dimensional space consisting of all imaginary octonions.

In the case of $(4 + 4)$ -dimensions one may consider the chain of maximal embeddings and branches,

$$so(4, 4) \supset s(2, R) \oplus so(2, 3) \supset so(1, 1) \oplus sl(2, R) \oplus sl(2, 2). \quad (38)$$

However, these subgroups are not full symmetry groups and therefore it is difficult to reveal hidden symmetries in the action (14).

Finally, one may also think in a generalization of our procedure to other dimensions beyond 4 and 8 dimensions. Let us consider a generalization of (12) in the form

$$\Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{D/2-1} \mu_{D/2}}^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{D/2-1} a_{D/2}} = \delta_{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{D/2-1} b_{D/2}}^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{D/2-1} a_{D/2}} \mathcal{R}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{b_1 b_2} \dots \mathcal{R}_{\mu_{D/2-1} \mu_{D/2}}^{b_{D/2-1} b_{D/2}}. \quad (39)$$

One observes that due to the fact that the curvature $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$ is a two form the formalism is possible only in 2^{2l} -dimensions (or 2^{2l-1} -dimensions), where l

denotes the number odd (or even) $\mathcal{R}$ -terms in (39). Thus, the generalized action (14) can be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{A} \int d^D x \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_D} \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_D} {}^{\pm} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{D/2}}^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{D/2}} {}^{\pm} \Omega_{\mu_{D/2+1} \mu_{D/2+2} \dots \mu_D}^{a_{D/2+1} a_{D/2+2} \dots a_D}, \quad (40)$$

where $D = 2^{2l}$ or $D = 2^{2l-1}$ and A is a proper numerical factor. Here, ${}^{\pm} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{D/2}}^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{D/2}}$ is defined as in (15) but using $\epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_D}$. Of course, one may expect that, up to topological invariant terms, an expansion of (40) in terms of $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$ shall lead to an action with various higher dimensional Lovelock type terms. For further research, it may be interesting to explore this observation in more detail.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the referee for his valuable remarks. Also, I would like to thank P. A. Nieto for his helpful comments. This work was partially supported by PROFAPI-UAS/2012.

References

- [1] N. Bodendorfer, T. Thiemann, and A. Thurn, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **30** (2013) 045001; arXiv:1105.3703 [gr-qc].
- [2] N. Bodendorfer, T. Thiemann, and A. Thurn, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **30** (2013) 045002; arXiv:1105.3704 [gr-qc].
- [3] N. Bodendorfer, T. Thiemann, and A. Thurn, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **30** (2013) 045003; arXiv:1105.3705 [gr-qc].
- [4] N. Bodendorfer, T. Thiemann, and A. Thurn, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **30** (2013) 045004; arXiv:1105.3706 [gr-qc].
- [5] N. Bodendorfer, T. Thiemann, and A. Thurn, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **31** (2014) 055002; arXiv:1304.2679 [gr-qc].

- [6] J. A. Nieto, Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 947-955; hep-th/0410260.
- [7] J. A. Nieto, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20** (2005) 2157-2163; hep-th/0411124.
- [8] J. A. Nieto, Gen. Rel. Grav. **39** (2007) 1109; hep-th/0506253.
- [9] J. A. Nieto, “Towards a background independent quantum gravity in eight dimensions”, arXiv:0704.2769 [hep-th].
- [10] J. A. Nieto, Rev. Mex. Fis. **57** (2011) 400; arXiv:1003.4750 [hep-th].
- [11] M. Green, J. Schwarz, E. Witten, *Superstring Theory* (Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge,UK, 1987).
- [12] C. Hull and R. R. Khuri, Nucl. Phys. B **536** (1998) 219; e-Print: hep-th/9808069.
- [13] M. A. De Andrade, M. Rojas and F. Toppan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16** (2001) 4453; hep-th/0005035.
- [14] M. Rojas, M. A. De Andrade, L. P. Colatto, J. L. Matheus-Valle, L. P. G. De Assis and J. A. Helayel-Neto, “Mass Generation and Related Issues from Exotic Higher Dimensions”: arXiv: 1111.2261 [hep-th].
- [15] M. A. De Andrade, I. V. Vancea., “Action for spinor fields in arbitrary dimensions”, e-Print: hep-th/0105025.
- [16] P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Supergravity*, Phys. Rep. **68**, (1981) 189.
- [17] J. A. Nieto, Nucl. Phys. B **883** (2014) 350; arXiv:1402.6998 [hep-th].
- [18] J. A. Nieto, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **8** (2004) 177; hep-th/0310071.
- [19] J. A. Nieto, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **10** (2006) 747; hep-th/0506106.
- [20] J. A. Nieto, Phys. Lett. B **718** (2013) 1543; arXiv:1210.0928 [hep-th] .
- [21] J. A. Nieto, Phys. Lett. B **692** (2010) 43; arXiv:1004.5372 [hep-th].
- [22] J. A. Nieto, O. Obregon and J. Socorro, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 3583; gr-qc/9402029.
- [23] D. J. Lovelock, Math. Phys. **12** (1971) 498.
- [24] M. Cruz and E. Rojas, Class. Quant. Grav. **30** (2013) 115012; arXiv:1212.1704.

- [25] J. A. Nieto, J. Mod. Phys. **4** (2013) 32; arXiv:1303.2673 [hep-th].
- [26] A. R. Dundarer, F. Gursey and C. H. Tze, J. Math. Phys. **25** (1984) 1496.
- [27] A. R. Dundarer and F. Gursey, J. Math. Phys. **32**, (1991) 1178.